

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,745	11/02/2001	Toshio Ueno	01703/LH	3835	
1933 7590 01/14/2005 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			· EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CHEA, P	CHEA, PHILIP J	
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			2153		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/002,745	UENO, TOSHIO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip J Chea	2153			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 November 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
,					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 <i>March 2002</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/02(both), 9/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dail Dail Notice of Informal Paper No(s) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 9/23/04, 3/26/02, and 3/22/02 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1.03(a) as being unpatentable over Mongilio (US 6,463,437) further in view of Turnbull et al. (US 6,389,426).

As per claims 1, 3, and 5, although Mongilio discloses a technical support system comprising:

- a service information portal section which provides web pages as an information input
 and output interface (see Fig. 3, where a website is shown with fields to allow a user to
 enter information about a problem);
- a knowledge base section which stores various claim reports and solutions related to the claim reports (see column 3, lines 20-34, where a self-help section allows users to step through their problem in order to find a solution);
- and a claim handling section which registers in said knowledge base section a new claim report in which at least a claim title is structured as a combination of predetermined items of definition information on the basis of a claim content input to a client web page, and manages the registered new claim report as an unsolved claim requiring an answer from

an engineer (see column 3, lines 43-65 and column 4, lines 18-26, where a user files a claim report after the self-help section has not solved the problem); wherein said claim handling section is configured to issue task sheets for a market countermeasure task which is shared among technical divisions according to the new claim report (see column 5, lines 56-61, where the claim report can be sent to a group of individuals to which the problem pertains),

it fails to disclose updating the state of progress in the market countermeasure task upon receipt of the task sheet returned from each of the technical divisions.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Mongilio, as evidenced by Turnbull et al.

In an analogous art, Turnbull et al. disclose updating the state of progress in a response to a task sheet returned from the authority responsible for examining the task sheet (see columns 4 and 5, lines 60-67 and 1-17, where a state of progress is considered the resolution code).

Given the teaching of Turnbull et al., a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Mongilio by employing a status report on the state of progress, such as disclosed by Turnbull et al., in order to track tickets and perform trend analysis (see Turnbull et al. column 1, lines 15-23).

As per claims 2, 4, and 6, Mongilio in view of Turnbull et al. further disclose visualizing the state of progress in a countermeasure task (see Turnbull et al. column 5, lines 18-34, where visualizing is considered implementing a table structure that includes a field for the resolution code indicating the status of the countermeasure task).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Calvert; Nathaniel et al.

US 5287505 A

Caswell; Deborah L. et al.

US 5964891 A

Application/Control Number: 10/002,745

Art Unit: 2153

Cogger; Timothy John et al.

US 6032184 A

Page 4

Jones; William R. et al.

US 6219648 B1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J Chea whose telephone number is 571-272-3951. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Philip J Chea Examiner Art Unit 2153

PJC 1/6/05

SUPÉRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100